

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,604	BUCKER ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory J. Strimbu	3634		
All Participants: (1) <u>Gregory J. Strimbu</u> . (3) _____ (2) <u>Saeid Mirsafian</u> . (4) _____		Status of Application: _____	
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2010</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-3, 7, 11-23, 25, 26, 28-30			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Suggested changes to more accurately and patentably define the applicant's invention and to overcome 35 USC 112 informalities. See the examiner's amendment for the changes made</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			